



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILIN		ILING DATE	DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,023 22434	7590	02/25/2002	(Philip Shepard Green		8468
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP					EXAMINER	
	. BOX 778 RKELEY, CA 94704-0778				DONELS, JEFFREY	
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•			2837	
					DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		-	- GM						
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
	10/084,023	GREEN							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Jeffrey Donels	2837							
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for R ply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 I	MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>								
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	•	· •	he merits is						
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30,34-38</u> is/are pending in the appl	lication.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,14,15,21,22,24-30 and 36</u> is/a	are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) <u>4,10-13,16-20,23,34,35,37 and 38</u> is/s	-								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	•								
Application Papers	·								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examir	ner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	l Stage						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		al application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has	been received.	,,						
Attachment(s)		33 410.01 121.							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No f Informal Patent Application (PT							

Application/Control Number: 10/084,023

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The "compliant material" lacks antecedent basis and is not positively recited. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully met by Krozack et al. See especially Figs. 9 and 11.

Applicant's arguments have been considered, in view of the claim language, and are not deemed persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,023

Art Unit: 2837

Claims 1-3,5-9,14,15,21,22,24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mastroianni. See especially Figs. 2 and 3.

Regarding Claim 1, Mastroianni discloses all features claimed, but does not explicitly teach the making of the recited parts unitary as claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the teachings of Mastroianni to make the parts unitary, as it has been held that the making integral of parts falls within the realm of obviousness (*In re Larson*, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965)). Mastroianni discloses side panels coupled to support arms 16.

Regarding Claims 5,9, (in a similar manner as above), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the teachings of Mastroianni to make the parts separable, as it has been held that the making of separable parts falls within the realm of obviousness (*In re Dulberg*, 289 F.2d 522, 523, 129 USPQ 348, 349 (CCPA 1961)).

Claims 4,10-13,16-20,23,34-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 703-308-3115. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour days, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on 703-308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-308-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Jeffrey Donels Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837